Welcome to SENG 371 Software Evolution Spring 2013

A Core Course of the BSEng Program

Hausi A. Müller, PhD PEng Professor, Department of Computer Science Associate Dean Research, Faculty of Engineering University of Victoria

Setting the stage

- Suppose we could turn back time to 1968. It so happens that the first software engineering conference was held in 1968 in Garmisch Partenkirchen in Germany. The term software engineering was coined at that conference.
- Given what you know now about the software industry and everything you learned in this course —and all other university courses — what advice would you give to these pioneers?
- Chances are that the advice you would give to these pioneers then would still be valid today. The premise is that if we follow your advice today, chances are we will be better off in the future.



Aurphy, Notkin, Lan: An empirical study of static call graph extractors, ACM fransactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (705K) 7(2): IS8-ID1 (1998) ntp://diam.org/citation.cfm/d=279314 Miller, Jahnke, Smith, Storey, Tilley, Wong: Reverse Engineering: A Roadmap, in The Future of Software Engineering, pp. 47-60 (2000) ntp://diam.org/citation.cfm/d=236526 Storey: Theories, tools and research methods in program ongrehension: past, present and future, Software Quality Journal 1:187-208 (2006) http://wohome.cs.wic.cl~chelyDub/storey-pc-lournal.pdf Software, Architectures, and Projects in Crisis, John Wiley (1998) AuriPatterns Tutorial and Website http://www.antipatterns.com/briefingindes.htm http://www.antipatterns.com













Root Causes

- Haste
 - hasty decisions compromise quality
 - $^{\circ}$ code that appears to work is acceptable
 - testing is ignored
- Apathy
 - lack of partitioning
 - ignoring the separation of concerns (e.g., stable vs. replaceable design)

Root Causes ...

- Narrow-mindedness
 - refusal of known or accepted solutions
 - reluctance to use metadata
- Sloth
 - poor decision based on an easy answer
 - frequent interface changes
 - lack of configuration control
- reliance on generating stubs and skeletons

Forces ...

- Vertical forces
 - Domain specific
- Unique to a particular situation
- Horizontal or primal forces
 - · Applicable across multiple domains
 - Influence design and reengineering choice across several software modules and components
 - · Choices made elsewhere may impact local choices

Primal Forces ...

- Management of functionality
 Meeting the requirements
- Management of performance
- Meeting required speed and operation
 Management of complexity
- Defining abstractions
- Management of change
 Controlling the evolution of the software
- Controlling the evolution of the software
 Management of IT resources
- People and IT artifacts
- Management of technology
 - Controlling technology evolution

AntiPattern ViewPoints • Developer • Situations encountered by programmers • http://www.antipatterns.com/briefing/sld012.htm

- Architect
 - Common problems in system structure
 - http://www.antipatterns.com/briefing/sld014.htm
- Manager
 - Affect people in all software roles
 - <u>http://www.antipatterns.com/briefing/sld016.htm</u>

Software Development AntiPatterns

- The Blob
- Continuous obsolescence
- Lava Flow
- Ambiguous viewpoint
- Functional decomposition
- Poltergeists
- Boat Anchor

Software Development AntiPatterns

- Golden Hammer
- Dead End
- Spaghetti Code
- Input Kludge
- Walking through a Minefield
- Cut-and-Paste Programming
- Mushroom Management

The Blob

Problem

- Procedural style design leads to one object with a lion's share of the responsibilities
- Most other objects only hold data
- This is the class that is really the heart of our architecture
- One class monopolizes the processing and the others encapsulate data

The Blob

Causes

- Lack of an object-oriented architecture
- Lack of architecture enforcement
- · Procedural design expert are chief architects
- Wrapping a legacy system results in a Blob ... acceptable

The Blob ...

Solution

- Distribute responsibilities more uniformly
- Isolate the effect of changes (encapsulation)
- Identify or categorize attributes and operations
- Find "natural homes" for the identified classes
- Remove outliers

Continuous Obsolescence

Problem

- Technology is changing rapidly
- Developers have difficulty keeping up
- Product releases don't work together
- Solution
 - Open systems standards
 - Use consortium standards since they represent industry consensus
 - $^{\circ}\,$ Stable system interfaces to separate concerns
 - Open source

Ambiguous Viewpoint

Problem

- Old OOA&D models and methods often do not explain their viewpoint
 - Object oriented analysis and design
- Often implementation view—least useful
- Solution
 - Provide different viewpoints
 - Separation of concerns
 - Interfaces, db, application code
 - I4 diagram types in UML 2+

Functional Decomposition

Problem

- Result of experienced, non-oo developers
- Procedural design in an oo language
- Class-based versus object-oriented code
- Complex and clever code
- Function-centric design as opposed to balanced approach function/data-centric

Solution

- Object-oriented redesign
- Package data and methods
- Separation of concerns

Poltergeists

- Problem
 - · Classes with limited roles or life cycles
 - Start a process for another object
 - Short-lived objects
- Solution
 - · Refactor into longer-lived objects
- Package data and methods

Boat Anchor

Problem

 A piece of software that does not serve a useful purpose on the current project

- A costly acquisition which management is reluctant to let go
- Solution
 - Ditch the anchor

Golden Hammer

Problem

- A familiar and proven technology or concept that is applied obsessively to many software problems (e.g., MVC)
- Solution
 - Expand the knowledge of developers through courses, training, books
 - Expose developers to alternative technologies and approaches

Dead End

Problem

- Modifying a reusable component even if it is no longer maintained or supported by the supplier
- Amount of maintenance increases significantly
- Dead code
- Solution
 - Outsource maintenance rather than import maintenance

Spaghetti Code

Problem

- Most famous AntiPattern
- Many complexity measure have been invented to assess it
- Common for programmer who cannot abstract
- Large interfaces, many parameters,
- Not very common in industrial projects
 More of a myth than anything else
- Solution
 - Many automatic tools available

Object-oriented Spaghetti Code

- Many methods with no parameters
- Suspicious class or global variables
- Intertwined and unforeseen relationships among objects
- Process-oriented methods, object with process-oriented names
- Inheritance and polymorphism cannot be used to extend the system

Cute and Paste Programming or Cloning

- Problem
 - Software clones
- "Hey, I thought you fixed that bug already, so why is it doing this again?"
- "Wow, you guys work fast. Over 400KLOC in three weeks is amazing!"
- Degenerate form of reuse
- Very common in COBOL

Cute and Paste Programming or Cloning

- Solution
 - Clone detection
 - Parameterize types
 - Introduce an additional level of indirection
 - Exploit polymorphism
 - Dynamic schemas

AntiPattern ViewPoints • Developer • Situations encountered by programmers • http://www.antipatterns.com/briefing/sld012.htm • Architect • Common problems in system structure • http://www.antipatterns.com/briefing/sld014.htm • Manager • Affect people in all software roles • http://www.antipatterns.com/briefing/sld016.htm

Software Architecture AntiPatterns Autogenerated Stovepipe Stovepipe Enterprise Jumble Stovepipe System Cover Your Assets Vendor Lock-in Wolf Ticket

Software Architecture AntiPatterns ...

- Architecture By Implication
- Warm Bodies
- Design By Committee
- Swiss Army Knife
- Reinvent the Wheel
- The Grand Old Duke of York

Autogenerated Stovepipe

Problem

- Migrating an existing system to a distributed, network-centric or Web-services based system
- Converting existing software interfaces (e.g., functions and classes) to distributed interfaces
- Existing interfaces use fine-grain data (e.g., parameters)



Autogenerated Stovepipe ...

- Solution
 - Reengineer interfaces
 - Make the interfaces larger to fit the "new stove pipe"
 - Define a separate, larger-grain object model
- The interoperability among subsystems constitutes the core of the new design
- Aim for stable interfaces; even more important for distributed, network-centric and Web-services based systems than for standalone systems

Stovepipe Enterprise

Problem

- Stovepipe Enterprise is characterized by a software structure that inhibits change
- Must be constantly repaired

interoperate

Changes are done one hole at a time, often patched over Brittle, monolithic system



Stovepipe Enterprise ...

Solution

- Force stable interfaces
- Form product lines
- Identify requirements for the entire system or enterprise
- Write specification documents for the entire enterprise
- Coordination of technologies at several levels
- Identify common standards and migration direction with a standard reference model
- Usage conventions across systems
- Detailed interoperability conventions across systems • Data, control and presentation integration standards

Design by Committee

Problem

- Gold Plating, Standards Disease, Make Everybody Happy, Political Party
- Project team is egalitarian; everyone has equal say; decisions are democratic
- The majority rule leads to diffusion of abstraction and excess complexity
- "A camel is a horse designed by a committee."

Design by Committee ...

Symptoms

- Design documentation is voluminous
- The requirements do not converge and are unstable
- Design meetings are slow, concentrate on details, and avoid big picture discussions
- Decisions are only made in meetings
- No prioritization of design features

Design by Committee ...

- Causes
 - No designated project architect
 - Ineffective meeting facilitation
 - The suggestions of all committee members are incorporated to keep everybody happy
 - No separation of concerns

Design by Committee ...

- Refactored solution
 - Reform the meeting process
 - Why are we here?
 - What outcomes do we want?
 - Assign explicit roles
 Owner, facilitator, architect, developer, tester, domain expert
 - "My specialty is being right when other people are being wrong." — George Bernard Shaw

Design by Committee ...

- Employ Spitwads meeting process
 - Ask question—How can we improve performance?
 - · Write down answer silently
 - Toss spitwads à la Michael Jordan
 - · Redistribute, read, and record spitwads
- Reach common understanding
- Eliminate duplicates
- · Prioritize by voting
- Discuss highest priority selections

Design by Committee ...

- SQL example
 - SQL89—115 pages
- SQL92—580 pages
- SQL3—still not complete; may never be fully implemented; a dumping ground for advanced database features
- Better solutions
- Open Database Connectivity (ODBC)
- Java Database Connectivity (JDBC)

Reinvent the Wheel

Problem

- Our problem is unique
- Developers have minimal knowledge of each other's code
- Building systems from the ground up even though related legacy systems exist
- The existence of legacy systems is the norm rather than the exception
- Lack of program families or product lines

Reinvent the Wheel ...

Symptoms

- Closed system architectures—no provision of reuse, interoperability, or change management
- Replication of COTS components
- Inability to deliver desired features on time and within budget
- Corporate knowledge is not leveraged

Reinvent the Wheel ...

Causes

- No communication and technology transfer among software development projects
- Corporate knowledge is not leveraged
- $^{\circ}$ No explicit architecture process
- Lack of enterprise management